Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,156	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael G. Hartley	1616	

All Participants:

(1) *Michael G. Hartley*.

(2) *Robert Carpenter (Appl. repr.)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 July 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to cancel the section under, Brief Description of the Drawings, in the specification on page 4 as no drawings have been filed in the application.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)